

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/089,146	09/16/2002	Wilhelm Amberg	51748	9829
WOOD, PHILLIPS, KATZ, CLARK & MORTIMER 500 W. MADISON STREET SUITE 3800			EXAMINER	
			HADDAD, I	MAHER M
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL	60661	1644		

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/089,146	AMBERG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Maher M. Haddad	1644			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Clafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. ERR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a regon. , a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI statute. Cause the application to become ARA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication.			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•				
	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for all	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examulation The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐	ndrawn from consideration. d/or election requirement. miner.	v the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	prrection is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		,			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in App priority documents have been re reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	d) ☐ Intendew Swe	Dmon/PTO 442)			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB, Paper No(s)/Mail Date		imary (PTO-413) /ail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1644

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant is reminded that "use" claims are non-statutory and are not appropriate for US practice (see MPEP 2173.05(q)).

For examination purposes "use" claims are interpreted as methods of using.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372. This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.
- 3. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in response to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.
 - I. Claims 1-3 and 7-9, drawn to a method for the manufacture of medicaments comprising an endothelin blocker in combination with an $\alpha v\beta 3$ integrin receptor antagonist.
 - II. Claims 4-6 and 10, drawn to a pharmaceutical composition comprising an endothelin blocker and an $\alpha V\beta 3$ integrin receptor antagonist.
 - III. Claim 10, drawn to a package comprising a pharmaceutical composition comprising an endothelin blocker.
 - IV. Claim 10, drawn to a package comprising a pharmaceutical composition comprising an $\alpha \nu \beta 3$ integrin receptor antagonist.
- 4. The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The invention of Group III was found to have no special technical feature that defined the contribution over the prior art of US Pat. No. 5,934,272) (see entire document).

The `272 patent teaches package comprising endothelin antagonist and anti-adhesion molecules, (see patented claims 5). It is noted that the written material in the instructions is not considered to be within the statutory classes and does not carry patentable weight. See MPEP 706.03(a). Also, see In re Haller 73 USPQ 403 (CCPA 1947), where application of printed matter to old article cannot render article patentable and In re Venezia 189 USPQ 49 (CCPA 1976), where kits

Page 3

are drawn to the structural attributes of interrelated component parts and not to activities that may or may not occur. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. In re Casey, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967); In re Otto, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). The term "comprising" is open-ended. It would open up the package to contain other material.

Since Applicant's inventions do not contribute a special technical feature when viewed over the prior art they do not have a single general inventive concept and so lack unity of invention.

- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maher Haddad whose telephone number is (571) 272-0845. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 7:30 am to 4:00 pm. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (571) 272-0841. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Maher Haddad, Ph.D. Patent Examiner Technology Center 1600 November 10, 2004

HUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600